ON QUASI-SINGULAR CONTROLS IN AN OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM DESCRIBED BY VOLTERRA'S DIFFERENCE EQUATION

Rasmiya Amirova

Cybernetics Institute of ANAS, Baku, Azerbaijan amir.208@mail.ru

Consider a problem on minimum of the functional $S(u) = \varphi(z(t_1, x_1))$ (1)

under constraints

$$z(t+1,x+1) = \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t} \sum_{s=x_0}^{x} f(t,x,\tau,s,z(\tau,s),u(\tau,s)),$$
(2)

$$(t, x) \in T \times X \quad (T = \{t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1 - 1\}; \ X = \{x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1 - 1\}), \\ z(t_0, x) = a(x), \quad x \in X \cup x_1, \\ z(t, x_0) = b(t), \quad t \in T \cup t_1, \\ a(x_0) = b(t_0), \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$u(t,x) \in U, \quad (t,x) \in T \times X.$$
 (4)

Here $\varphi(z)$ is a twice continuously differentiable scalar function, $f(t, x, \tau, s, z, u)$ is a given *n*-dimensional vector-function continuous in the aggregate of all variables together with partial derivatives with respect to (z, u) to the second order inclusively, to t_0, t_1, x_0, x are the given numbers, the differences $t_1 - t_0, x_1 - x_0$ a natural numbers, a(x), b(t) are the given *n*-dimensional discrete vector-functions, U is a given non-empty, bounded and convex set, u(t, x) is *r*-dimensional vector of control actions (admissible control).

The admissible control (u(t, x), z(t, x)) delivering minimum to the functional (1) under constraints (2)-(4) is called an optimal process, the control u(t, x) an optimal control.

Assuming (u(t, x), z(t, x)) a fixed admissible process, we introduce the denotation

$$H(t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x)) = \sum_{\tau=t}^{t_1-1} \sum_{s=x}^{x_1-1} \psi'(\tau, s) f(\tau, s, t, x, \tau, z(t, x), u(t, x))$$

where $\psi = \psi(t, x)$ is *n*-dimensional vector-function being a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\psi(t-1, x-1) = H_z(t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x), \psi(t, x)),$$

$$\psi(t_1 - 1, x - 1) = 0, \quad x = x_0, x_0 + 1, \dots, x_1,$$
(5)

$$\psi(t-1, x_1-1) = 0, \qquad x = t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_1, \qquad (6)$$

$$\psi(t_1-1, x_1-1) = -\varphi_z(z(t_1, x_1)).$$

The boundary value problem (5)-(6) is called conjugated to the problem (1)-(4).

In the paper, at first it was proved that if the set U is convex, for optimality of the admissible control u(t,x) in problem (1)-(4) the inequality

$$\sum_{u=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1-1} H'_u(t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x), \psi(t, x)))(v(t, x) - u(t, x)) \le 0,$$
(7)

should be fulfilled for all $v(t,x) \in U$, $(t,x) \in T \times X$.

Inequality (7) is a first order necessary optimality condition in the form of linearized maximum principle [1-3].

Further, the case of degeneration of necessary optimality condition (7) is studied.

Definition. The admissible control u(t, x) is said to be quasi-singular if for all $v(t,x) \in U$, $(t,x) \in T \times X$

$$\sum_{t=t_0}^{t_1-1} \sum_{x=x_0}^{x_1-1} H'_u(t, x, z(t, x), u(t, x), \psi(t, x))(v(t, x) - u(t, x)) \equiv 0$$

Let y(t, x) be a solution of the boundary value problem

$$y(t+1, x+1) =$$

$$=\sum_{\tau=t_{0}}^{t}\sum_{s=x_{0}}^{x} [f_{z}(t, x, \tau, s, z(\tau, s), u(\tau, s))y(\tau, s) + f_{u}(t, x, \tau, s, z(\tau, s), u(\tau, s))(v(\tau, s) - u(\tau, s))],^{(8)}$$

$$y(t_{0}, x) = 0, \quad x \in X \cup x_{1},$$

$$y(t, x_{0}) = 0, \quad t \in T \cup t_{1}.$$
(9)

We call problem (8)-(9) an equation in variations in problem (1)-(4).

Developing the scheme suggested in [4-6], we get various necessary optimality conditions of singular controls. Cite one of them:

Theorem. If the set U is convex, for optimality of the quasi-singular control u(t, x) in problem (1)-(4) the inequality

$$y'(t_{1},x_{1})\varphi_{zz}(z(t_{1},x_{1}))y(t_{1},x_{1}) - \sum_{t=t_{0}}^{t_{1}-1}\sum_{x=x_{0}}^{x_{1}-1} [y'(t,x)H_{zz}(t,x,z(t,x),u(t,x),\psi(t,x))y(t,x) + + 2(v(t,x)-u(t,x))'H_{uz}(t,x,z(t,x),u(t,x),\psi(t,x))y(t,x) + + (v(t,x)-u(t,x))'H_{uu}(t,x,z(t,x),u(t,x),\psi(t,x))(v(t,x)-u(t,x))] \ge 0,$$
(10)

should be fulfilled for all $v(t,x) \in U$, $(t,x) \in T \times X$.

Inequality (10) is an implicit necessary optimality condition of quasisingular controls and is of sufficiently general character.

Using inequality (10), by means of the scheme developed in [4-6], we could get explicit necessary optimality conditions that are directly expressed by the parameters of problem (1)-(4). Different special cases are studied.

References

- 1. R. Qabasov, F.M. Kirillova. Maxsimum principle in optimal control theory. Mn. 1974, 272 p.
- 2. R. Qabasov, F.M. Kirillova. Singular optimal controls. M. Nauka, 1973, 256 p.
- 3. R. Qabasov, F.M. Kirillova, K.B. Mansimov. Higher order necessary optimality conditions. Mn. Im AN BSSR. Preprint № 155, 1982, 48 p.
- 4. K.B. Mansimov. Discrete systems. Baku, BGU, 2002, 114 p.
- 5. K.B. Mansimov. Optimization of a class of discrete two-parametric systems // Differen. Uravnenia, 1991, № 2, pp. 359-361.
- 6. K.B. Mansimov. Second order necessary optimality conditions in discrete two-parametric systems // Izv. AN Azerb. Ser. fiz.-tekhn. i mat. nauk, 1998, № 2, pp. 56-60.