## ON THE MODELING OF THE AMERICAN OPTION PRICING

## Teona Dochviri<sup>1</sup>, Besarion Dochviri<sup>2</sup>, and Hamlet Meladze<sup>3</sup>

Georgian University of the Patriarchy of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia <sup>1</sup>*dteona@hotmail.com*, <sup>2</sup>*besarion.dochviri@tsu.ge*, <sup>3</sup>*h\_meladze@hotmail.com* 

1. We consider the financial (B, S)-market consisting only of two assets: a bank account (bonds)  $B = (B_n)$  and a stocks  $S = (S_n)$ , where *n* changes from zero to *N*, n = 0, 1, ..., N. According to the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein discrete model, the time-dependent change (evolution) of the values  $B_n$  and  $S_n$  is defined by the following recurrent equalities

$$B_n = (1+r)B_{n-1},$$
 (1)

$$S_B = (1 + \rho_n) S_{n-1}, \qquad (2)$$

In equalities (1), (2) it is assumed that r > 0 is an interest rate, and  $\rho_n$  is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables taking only two values *a* and *b*, -1 < a < r < b, [2], [4].

Let us now assume that there is some investor who has the initial capital  $X_0 = x > 0$  and wants to increase this capital in the future using the capabilities of the (B, S)-market. In that case, we have the so-called investment problem. Let at the moment *n* the price of one bond is  $B_n$  and price of one stock is  $S_n$  and suppose that investor holds  $\beta_n$  amount of bonds and  $\gamma_n$ amount of stocks. Then the investor's capital can be written in the form

$$X_n^{\pi} = \beta_n B_n + \gamma_n S_n, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\pi = \pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$  is investor's portfolio or strategy at the moment *n*.

The strategy  $\pi = \pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$  is called a American (x, f, n)-hedge if  $X_0^{\pi} = X_0 = x$ ,  $X_n^{\pi} \ge f_n$ , n = 0, 1, ..., N, where  $f = f_n = f_n(S_n)$  is some American option payoff function.

If we have an equality  $X_n^{\pi} = f_n$ , n = 0, 1, ..., N, then  $\pi$  is called a minimal hedge. The value

$$P_n(S_n) = C_n^A = \min\{x > 0 : \Pi(x, f, n) \neq \emptyset\},$$
(4)

where  $\Pi(x, f, n)$  is the set of all American (x, f, n)-henges is called the fair price (or rational price) of the American option.

2. Suppose that the American option payoff function has the following form

$$f = f_n = f_n(S_n) = \beta^n \cdot (S_n - K)^+, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 1,$$
(5)

where K > 0 is agreed price.

**Lemma 1.** At each time moment n, n = 0, 1, ..., N-1, a minimal strategy  $\pi_{n+1}^* = (\beta_{n+1}^*, \gamma_{n+1}^*)$  is defined by the equalities

$$\beta_{n+1}^{*} = \frac{(1+b)f((1+a)S_{n}) - (1+a)f((1+b)S_{n})}{(1+r)(b-a)B_{n}},$$
(6)

$$\gamma_{n+1}^{*} = \frac{f((1+a)S_{n}) - f((1+b)S_{n})}{(b-a)S_{n}}.$$
(7)

Lemma 2. The capital of the minimal strategy is defined by the equality

$$X_{n}^{\pi^{*}} = Tf_{n+1}(S_{n}) = \frac{1}{1+r} [pf((1+b)S_{n}) + (1-p)f((1+a)S_{n})],$$
(8)

where

$$Tf(x) = \frac{1}{1+r} \left[ pf((1+b)x) + (1-p)f((1+a)x) \right], \tag{9}$$

$$p = \frac{r-a}{b-a}.$$
(10)

**Theorem 1.** A fair (rational) price  $C_n^A$  of the American option (5) satisfies the following recurrent equation

$$C_n^A = \max\{f_n, TC_{n+1}^A\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad C_N = f_N.$$
 (11)

**Theorem 2.** The rational option realization moment  $\tau^*$  (optimal stopping moment) is defined by equality

$$\tau^* = \inf \left\{ n: f_n(S_n) \ge TP_{n+1}(S_n) \right\}.$$
(12)

Example. Let  $f = f_2(S_n) = \max(S_n - K, 0)$ , N = 2, n = 0, 1, 2,  $B_0 = 20$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{5}$ ,

$$S_0 = 100, \ a = -\frac{2}{5}, \ b = \frac{3}{5}, \ K = 100, \ \beta = 1$$
, We have:  
 $S_{2,0} = 36, \ S_{2,1} = 96, \ S_{2,2} = 256,$   
 $f_{2,0} = 0, \ f_{2,1} = 0, \ f_{2,2} = 156, \ C_{1,0}^A = 0, \ C_{1,1}^A = 78, \ C_2^A = 39,$ 

*case 1.* if  $S_0 \rightarrow S_{1,1} = 160$ , then

$$\pi_1^* = \left(\beta_1^*, \gamma_1^*\right) = \left(-\frac{39}{20}, \frac{39}{50}\right), \quad \pi_2^* = \left(\beta_2^*, \gamma_2^*\right) = \left(-\frac{13}{4}, \frac{39}{40}\right), \quad \tau^* = 2,$$

*case 2.* if  $S_0 \rightarrow S_{1,0} = 60$ , then

$$\pi_1^* = \left(\beta_1^*, \gamma_1^*\right) = \left(-\frac{39}{20}, \frac{39}{50}\right), \quad \pi_2^* = \left(\beta_2^*, \gamma_2^*\right) = (0, 0), \quad \tau^* = 1.$$

## References

- 1. Shiryayev A. N., Optimal stopping rules. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1978.
- 2. Shiryayev A. N., Stochastic financial mathematics. I, II. Moscow, 1998.
- 3. Dochviri B., Shashiashvili M. On the optimal stopping of a homogeneous Markov process on a finite time interval. (Russian) *Math. Nachr.* **156** (1992), 269-281.
- 4. Dochviri B., Shashiashvili M. The American Lookback Put and optimal stopping. *Reports of Enlarged Sessions of the Seminar of I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math.* **13** (1998), No. 3, 23-25.