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Let us consider the following problem of linear programming:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \rightarrow \max ,  \tag{1}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} x_{j}=b,  \tag{2}\\
& 0 \leq x_{j} \leq d_{j}, j=\overline{1, n},  \tag{3}\\
& x_{j}-\text { integer, } j=\overline{1, n} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is assumed that $a_{j}, b, c_{j}, d_{j}(j=\overline{1, n})$ - are integer and positive.
The problem (1)-(4) refers to the class of intractable problems, i.e. the time required for solution of the problem increases exponentially at the growth of dimension.

Most scientific studies on problems of integer programming examine problems of linear programming with restriction of inequality. Evidently it connected with rigidity of restriction (2) with integer positive numbers than inequality:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} x_{j} \leq b
$$

This study examines one approach to the solution of problem (1)-(4).
Inverse problem of aggregation (disaggregation), i.e. reduction of an integer equality to the equivalent system with lesser coefficients was solved for equality with nonnegative integer variables in [5]. Also has been proved the following theorem:

Theorem. If for the specified integer parameter $p>1$ exists such $t$, that satisfies condition

$$
p>\max \left\{\left|b_{2}+p t-f_{1}\right|,\left|b_{2}+p t-f_{2}\right|\right\}
$$

then system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j}=b_{1}-t  \tag{5}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{2 j} x_{j}=b_{2}+p t
\end{array}\right.
$$

and equation (2) are equivalent, i.e. sets of integer nonnegative solutions of (2) and (5) coincide.

Here $b_{2}=b(\bmod p), b_{1}=\left(b-b_{2}\right) / p, a_{2 j}=a_{j}(\bmod p), a_{1 j}=\left(a_{j}-a_{2 j}\right) / p, j=\overline{1, n}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}=\max _{x \in G_{1}(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{2 j} x_{j}, \quad f_{2}=\min _{G_{2}(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{2 j} x_{j}, \\
G_{1}(t)=\left\{X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j} \leq b_{1}-t, 0 \leq x_{j} \leq d_{j}, j=\overline{1, n}\right\}, \\
G_{2}(t)=\left\{X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j} \geq b_{1}-t, 0 \leq x_{j} \leq d_{j}, j=\overline{1, n}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Idea of the present approach consists of the following: Method of disaggregation lets construct equivalent system of equations (5) with lesser coefficients instead of one equation (2), then after disaggregation one can obtain equalities among coefficients which there are unities. Then accepting as a pivotal element unknown with unit coefficient one can apply simplex transformation without getting fractional coefficients. It's quite clear that value of efficiency function increases from iteration to iteration.

Let the problem (1)-(4) be the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
f-c_{1} x_{1}-c_{2} x_{2}-\ldots-c_{k} x_{k}-\ldots-c_{n} x_{n} & =0  \tag{6}\\
a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots+1 \cdot x_{k}+\ldots+a_{1 n} x_{n}+x_{n+1} & =b_{1}^{(1)} \\
a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{2 k} x_{k}+\ldots+a_{2 n} x_{n}+x_{n+2} & =b_{2}^{(2)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Here, without upsetting commonness is supposed that variable bringing in the basis is $x_{k}$ and after disaggregation $a_{1 k}=1$. Besides $b_{1}^{(1)}=b_{1}-t, b_{2}^{(1)}=b_{2}+p t$

Since $x_{k}$ is bringing in basis, so $\min _{j}\left(-c_{j}\right)=-c_{k}<0$. Then applying simplextransformation one can exclude unknown $x_{k}$ from first and third equation of the system (6). Reiterating application of the simplex transformations value of $f$ can be increased, provided if among the coefficients of first equation of (6) there are negative numbers. Otherwise process of computation must be stopped.

Note that if the conditions are fulfilled, then present approach gives an optimal solution of the problem (1)-(4).

Remark. After disaggregation of optimization problem (1)-(4) some coordinates of the optimal solutions are defined immediately. Taking that into account is obtained equivalent problem with lesser number of unknowns.

For clarity of the present approach let us consider the following example:

## Example.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=21 x_{1}+11 x_{2}+10 x_{3}+7 x_{4}+13 x_{5}+6 x_{6}+11 x_{7} \rightarrow \max  \tag{7}\\
& 7 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+4 x_{3}+3 x_{4}+6 x_{5}+4 x_{6}+6 x_{7}=10  \tag{8}\\
& 0 \leq x_{j} \leq 1, j=\overline{1,7}  \tag{9}\\
& \quad x_{j}-\text { integers }, j=\overline{1,7} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the solution of the corresponding problem of relaxation is:

$$
x^{*}=(1,0.75,0,0,0,0,0), \text { at that } f^{*}=29.5
$$

The system of equations equivalent to the equation (8) is the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+2 x_{5}+x_{6}+2 x_{7} & =3  \tag{11}\\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{6} & =1
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let us solve the problem assigned by the conditions (7), (11) and (9) by means of simplextransformations:
Iteration 1 .

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}-21 x_{1}-11 x_{2}-10 x_{3}-7 x_{4}-13 x_{5}-6 x_{6}-11 x_{7}
\end{array} \quad=0\right\} \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 x_{1}+x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{4} & +2 x_{5}+x_{6}+2 x_{7}+x_{8} & =3 \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & +x_{3} & & +x_{6} & +x_{9}=1
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Iteration 2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0} \begin{array}{rrrrr}
+10 x_{2}-10 x_{3}-7 x_{4}-13 x_{5}+15 x_{6}-11 x_{7} & +21 x_{9}=21 \\
-x_{2} & -x_{3}+x_{4} & +2 x_{5}-x_{6}+2 x_{7}+x_{8}-2 x_{9}=1 \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & +x_{3}
\end{array} \\
& x=\left(\begin{array}{lllrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It's obvious that after accepting $x_{1}=1 \quad x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}$ and $x_{9}$ get value 0 . Then remains only $x_{4}=1$.

Thereby solution of the problem (7)-(10) is $x^{*}=(1,0,0,1,0,0,0)$ and $f^{*}=28$.
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